Signaling pathways of hypocretin-I actions on pyramidal neurons in the rat prefrontal cortex

Cheng-Hui Song,^{I,*} Jian-Xia Xia,^{I,*} Jian-Ning Ye,² Xiao-Wei Chen,^I Qing-Chun Zhang,^I En-Quan Gao³ and Zhi-An Hu^{I,CA}

Departments of ¹Neurobiology; ²Neurology, Xinqiao Hospital; ³Department of Physiology, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

^{CA}Corresponding Author: zhianhu@yahoo.com.cn

^{*}C.-H. Song and J.-X. Xia contributed equally to this work.

Received II July 2005; accepted I3 July 2005

We have investigated the direct excitatory effects of hypocretin-I on acutely isolated prefrontal cortical pyramidal neurons and explored the signaling mechanisms of these actions. Puff application of hypocretin-I caused an excitation in the recorded neurons. These effects of hypocretin-I were abolished by a phospholipase C inhibitor D609, demonstrating that phospholipase C mediates the actions of hypocretin-I. A specific protein kinase C inhibitor, bisindolylmaleimide II, blocked the excitatory actions of hypocretin-I, suggesting that protein kinase C plays a key role. Finally, protein kinase A inhibitor applied intracellularly did not affect the responses. These results indicate that hypocretin-I excites prefrontal neurons by activation of phospholipase C and protein kinase C pathways, but not protein kinase A. *NeuroReport* 16:1529–1533 © 2005 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Key words: Hypocretin-1; Phospholipase C; Prefrontal cortex; Protein kinase C; Wakefulness; Whole-cell patch-clamp

INTRODUCTION

The hypothalamic peptides hypocretin 1 and 2 (also know as orexin A and B) [1,2] have been implicated in the regulation of wakefulness [3,4]. This role is largely attributed to cortical activation induced by the stimulation of multiple subcortical arousal systems, such as the locus coeruleus, mesopontine and basal forebrain neurons, and the nonspecific thalamocortical projection system [5,6]. Interestingly, morphological studies have shown that hypocretinergic projections and hypocretin receptors are also distributed in the cerebral cortex [7,8]. Furthermore, hypocretin-1 has been found to elevate intracellular Ca²⁺ in cultured cortical neurons [9]. Electrophysiological experiments indicate a direct postsynaptic excitatory effect of hypocretins on primary somatosensory cortical neurons [10]. Collectively, these data suggest that hypocretins also participate in wakefulness consolidation through direct excitatory actions on the cerebral cortex.

The prefrontal cortex is a brain region whose activity is correlated with level of wakefulness [11–14] and which plays a critical role in many higher cognitive functions such as working memory and attention [15]. Previous studies have shown that hypocretin-2 exerts an excitatory modulation on layer V neurons of the prefrontal cortex by a presynaptic action but not by a direct postsynaptic action [14]. This may be attributed to hypocretin receptor-1 mRNA expression alone in prefrontal neurons [8]. Also, hypocretin-2 is less active than hypocretin-1 on hypocretin receptor-1 [2]. Therefore, we hypothesized that hypocretin-1 might have a direct postsynaptic effect on prefrontal cortical neurons. If the direct prefrontal modulation by hypocretin1 was confirmed, questions would remain as to what intracellular signaling pathways underlie this electrical excitation.

To date, it has been identified that there are diverse ionic mechanisms responsible for the actions of hypocretins in varying cellular populations, such as an electrogenic pump and calcium current activation, blockage of potassium conductance, and activation of a nonselective cation conductance [16–18]. Similarly, the possibility that multiple signaling mechanisms mediate the excitatory effects of hypocretins on different cells should be considered. In fact, there is only limited report indicating that the activation of phospholipase C (PLC) and protein kinase C (PKC) signaling pathways is involved in the depolarizing effects of hypocretin-1 on nucleus tractus solitarius neurons [19]. It is uncertain whether the depolarizing effects induced by hypocretin-1 in different cells share a common signaling mechanism. Thus, further work is needed to delineate the exact signaling pathways underlying the hypocretin-1-induced excitation in prefrontal cortical neurons.

The aim of this study was to examine the postsynaptic effects of hypocretin-1 on acutely dissociated prefrontal pyramidal neurons, and explore the possible cellular mechanisms of these actions using whole-cell patch-clamp recordings and neuropharmacological tools.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Acute dissociation: Deep layer (V–VI) prefrontal cortical pyramidal neurons (Fig. 1a) from postnatal 8 to 10-day-old



Fig. I. Rat prefrontal cortical pyramidal neuron and excitatory effects of hypocretin-I (Hcrt I) on these neurons. (a) Schematic representation of the place of layers of V and VI of the rat frontal cortex (Fr). (b) Photomicrograph of an acutely isolated prefrontal pyramidal neuron that has a long apical dendrite and three basal dendrites. (c) Application of $10 \,\mu$ M hypocretin-I produced rapid sustained depolarization accompanied by a rapid increase in firing frequency of action potentials. After washout of hypocretin-I, the membrane potential and action potential frequency returned to control levels. (d) Inward currents were evoked by hypocretin-I. (e) Application of hypocretin-I increased the number of action potentials evoked by a series of current pulses of -60 to +40 pA. The effect was sustained when the membrane potential was clamped at the control level.

Wistar rats were acutely dissociated using procedures modified from those of previous reports [20]. In brief, rats were anesthetized with ether and decapitated. The brains were quickly removed, blocked, and then 400 µm slices were prepared with a vibratome tissue sectioner in ice-cold oxygenated (95% O₂–5% CO₂) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (in mM) 126 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 2 MgSO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 2 CaCl₂, and 10 glucose, pH 7.3–7.4. Coronal slices were incubated for 2h at room temperature (22°C-24°C) in oxygenated aCSF before dissociation. Individual slices were then placed into an oxygenated, N-2hydroxyethyl piperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)buffered aCSF containing 1 mg/ml trypsin at 36°C for 35-40 min. The aCSF containing the enzyme consisted of (in mM) 150 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.6 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES, and 10 glucose. After enzymatic treatment, the tissue was rinsed several times and kept in the enzyme-free aCSF. The prefrontal cortex was isolated under a dissecting microscope and triturated with a graded series of fire-polished Pasteur pipettes. The cell suspension was placed in a Lucite chamber, which was mounted on an inverted microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Cells were then given 10–15 min to settle down before electrophysiological recording.

Electrophysiological procedures: Whole-cell recordings were performed at room temperature using standard techniques. The normal intracellular recording solution consisted of (in mM) 145 potassium gluconate, 2 MgCl₂, 5 K₂ATP, 0.5 ethyleneglycol-bis-(β -amino ethyl ether) $N_{i}N_{i}N'_{i}N'$ -tetraacetic acid, and 5 HEPES, pH 7.2–7.3.

Recordings were obtained with an Axon Instruments (Foster City, California, USA) 200B patch-clamp amplifier and controlled and monitored with a personal computer running pClamp (version 6.06) with a Digi-Data 1200 analog-to-digital interface (Axon Instruments), filtered at 2 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz. Electrode resistances were \sim 3–6 M Ω in bath. The average whole-cell capacitance of the recorded neurons was about 12 pF. The liquid junction potential (\sim 3 mV) was not compensated, and membrane potentials were not corrected.

Drugs and statistical analysis: All drugs were obtained from Sigma (St Louis, Missouri, USA). Hypocretin-1 was added by puff application to neurons, as described previously [4]. Other drugs were applied by switching perfusion from aCSF to a solution containing the desired drug. In the case of puff application, hypocretin-1 $(10 \,\mu\text{M})$ was ejected by pressure from a micropipette (tip diameter $\sim 2-5 \,\mu$ m; duration of application $\sim 10-15 \,\text{s}$) positioned adjacent to the recording microelectrode. Then, hypocretin-1 peptide (40 µl) would diffuse in the solution in a chamber (volume 2 ml). Owing to this, though the concentration in the pipette was 10 µM, the final concentration of hypocretin-1 was only about 200 nM after diffusion. Puff application of aCSF (without hypocretin-1) with a similar duration did not influence the membrane potential of the recorded neurons. Protein kinase A (PKA) inhibitor (5-24) $(1 \mu M)$ was added to the standard internal pipette solution. Drugs used to directly inhibit or stimulate cytosolic signaling molecules are membrane permeable. Neurons

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

were required to maintain a stable baseline for at least 2 min before application of the test agents.

A response to hypocretin-1 was arbitrarily defined as a sustained change in membrane potential of >5 mV. All values are given as the mean \pm SEM. The number of neurons examined is given in parentheses. Statistical comparisons were made using the unpaired or paired Student's *t*-test, as appropriate. A minimum *p*-value of <0.05 was selected to determine significance.

RESULTS

Acutely isolated prefrontal pyramidal neurons were readily distinguished by their distinct morphological features similarly described previously [20]: a pyramidal-shaped soma ($\geq 20 \,\mu$ m diameter) and a prominent apical dendrite (50–100 μ m) (Fig. 1b). All recordings were restricted to the soma of the identified cells with such typical morphological properties. Whole-cell recordings were obtained from a total of 79 prefrontal pyramidal cells. Resting membrane potential and input resistance measured at the soma were $-59.7 \pm 4.4 \,\text{mV}$ and $2.0 \pm 0.4 \,\text{M}\Omega$, respectively. All of the tested cells generated action potentials with a mean amplitude of $73.7 \pm 6.2 \,\text{mV}$, and spontaneous activities, especially, were observed in 57.9% of the cells during long current-clamp recordings.

Puff application of $10 \,\mu\text{M}$ hypocretin-1 resulted in rapid sustained depolarization (29.1 \pm 10.9 mV, n=20/22), accompanied by a rapid increase in firing frequency of action potentials (Fig. 1c). After washout of hypocretin-1 with aCSF, both the membrane potential and action potential frequency recovered to the control level. In voltage-clamp experiments, application of 10 μ M hypocretin-1 also evoked inward currents in all neurons tested (19.4 \pm 11.2 pA; n=14/14). In addition, these responses were repeatable because the same cell responded to additional application of 10 μ M hypocretin-1 (Fig. 1d). In current-clamp experiments, the number of evoked action potentials in response to current pulses increased after quick application of 10 μ M hypocretin-1. After washout of hypocretin-1 with aCSF, the number of evoked action potentials returned to the control level (n=8/8) (Fig. 1e). Together, these data indicate that hypocretin-1 exerts a direct excitatory effect on prefrontal pyramidal neurons.

We next determined whether the excitatory effects of hypocretin-1 on prefrontal pyramidal neurons depend on PLC. As indicated in Figs 2a and 3, application of a PLC inhibitor D609 (10 μ M) obviously prevented 10 μ M hypocretin-1-induced depolarizations of the recorded neurons (0.7 \pm 0.5 mV, *n*=9/9; *p*<0.01). Thus, these results confirm that PLC is involved in mediating the depolarizing effects of hypocretin-1 on prefrontal cortical neurons.

Moreover, we investigated the effects of a specific PKC inhibitor, bisindolylmaleimide II (BIS-II) (1 μ M), on the hypocretin-1-induced depolarization of prefrontal pyramidal neurons. After preincubation with BIS-II for 1 h, hypocretin-1 (10 μ M) did not increase the membrane potential and frequency of action potentials. As illustrated in Figs 2b and 3, the depolarizations of neurons caused by hypocretin-1 and hypocretin-1 with BIS-II (1.1±0.3 mV; *n*=11/11) are significantly different (*p*<0.01). These data suggest that the excitations of prefrontal pyramidal neurons induced by hypocretin-1 are dependent on PKC.



Fig. 2. Signaling mechanisms of excitatory effects of hypocretin-I (Hcrt I) on prefrontal pyramidal neurons. (**a**) Whole-cell recording from a prefrontal neuron with D609 in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) indicated that application of hypocretin-I did not depolarize this cell. (**b**) Preincubation of bisindolylmaleimide II (BIS-II) for I h, spontaneous firing activities remained unchanged before and during application of hypocretin-I. (**c**) When the pipette contained protein kinase A (PKA) inhibitor, hypocretin-I evoked depolarizing response in a recorded neuron, and the response was reversible. In contrast, normal aCSF had no effect on the same cell.

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.



Fig. 3. This bar graph illustrates mean depolarization of prefrontal cortical neurons in response to 10 μ M hypocretin-I (Hcrt I) (mean depolarizations, 29.1 \pm 10.9 mV, n=20/22), 10 μ M hypocretin-I with 10 μ M D609 in aCSF, 10 μ M hypocretin-I with 1 μ M BIS-II in aCSF, and 10 μ M hypocretin-I recorded in the presence of 1 μ M protein kinase A (PKA) inhibitor peptide (5–24). Error bars indicate SE. *p < 0.05, **p > 0.05.

As shown in Figs 2c and 3, recording with 1 μ M PKA inhibitor peptide in internal solution illuminated the fact that 10 μ M hypocretin-1 still depolarized the prefrontal pyramidal neurons. The mean depolarizations (*n*=14/15; 25.0 \pm 8.9 mV) of the tested neurons induced by hypocretin-1 with PKA inhibitor are not markedly different from those induced by 10 μ M hypocretin-1 (*p* > 0.05). These observations show that PKA is not associated with the depolarizations of prefrontal cortical neurons induced by hypocretin-1.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the results confirm that hypocretin-1 has a direct postsynaptic excitatory effect on rat prefrontal cortical pyramidal neurons. Also, hypocretin-2 shows a presynaptic excitatory effect on these neurons [14]. Thus, we conclude that hypocretins can excite the prefrontal cortex not only by a presynaptic action but also by a direct postsynaptic action. In addition, Bayer *et al.* [10] demonstrate that hypocretins have a direct excitatory effect on primary somatosensory cortical neurons. Altogether, these data emphasize that the hypocretin system may play a powerful role in the regulation of arousal state by a direct excitatory action on the final target of arousal systems – the cerebral cortex – in parallel with its excitation of the multiple subcortical arousal regions.

Furthermore, we are interested in the signaling mechanisms responsible for the excitatory effects of hypocretin-1 on prefrontal pyramidal neurons. The biological actions of hypocretins are transduced via two hypocretin receptors, which belong to the G-protein-coupled receptor family [2]. Up to now, much attention has been paid to the identification of ionic basis involved in hypocretin-induced excitability via G-protein-coupled receptors. Only limited information exists, however, about the signaling mechanisms triggering the multiple ionic mechanisms. Yang *et al.* [19] reported that the excitatory effects of hypocretin-1 on nucleus tractus solitarius neurons are mediated through the activation of PLC and PKC pathways. Xu et al. [21] demonstrated that hypocretins increase the L-type Ca²⁻ current via a PKC-mediated pathway in ovine somatotropes. Despite this, the possible signaling mechanisms underlying the hypocretin-1-induced depolarization of prefrontal cortical neurons are not understood. In this study, we provide direct evidence that the excitatory effects of hypocretin-1 on prefrontal pyramidal neurons are mediated by activation of PLC and PKC pathways, but not by PKA. Notably, the signaling pathways mediating the hypocretin-1-induced excitation of prefrontal cortical neurons are consistent with those in nucleus tractus solitarius neurons. In all, the excitatory actions of hypocretin on different systems appear to share a common intracellular signaling mechanism. Additionally, it has been well established that the hypocretin receptor couples to a G_q protein [2]. Activation of G_q triggers the stimulation of PLC, which can activate PKC [22]. Thus, we can suppose that the working model of these two signaling molecules is that the stimulation of G_q by the binding of hypocretin-1 to the hypocretin receptors on the cortical neurons causes the activation of PLC, which triggers the activation of PKC. Our studies, however, only lead to a partial understanding of the initial steps of intracellular signaling cascades, and the possible ionic mechanisms underlying the excitatory actions of hypocretin on cortical neurons mediated by PLC and PKC signaling molecules need to be further investigated.

CONCLUSION

The chief finding of this study is that hypocretin-1 has a direct postsynaptic excitatory effect on prefrontal pyramidal neurons, which is mediated by activation of the PLC and PKC signaling pathways.

REFERENCES

- de Lecea L, Kilduff TS, Peyron C, Gao X, Foye PE, Danielson PE et al. The hypocretins: hypothalamus-specific peptides with neuroexcitatory activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998; 95:322–327.
- Sakurai T, Amemiya A, Ishii M, Matsuzaki I, Chemelli RM, Tanaka H et al. Orexins and orexin receptors: a family of hypothalamic neuropeptides and G protein-coupled receptors that regulate feeding behavior. *Cell* 1998; 92:573–585.
- Hungs M, Mignot E. Hypocretin/orexin, sleep and narcolepsy. *Bioessays* 2001; 23:397–408.
- Sutcliffe JG, de Lecea L. The hypocretins: setting the arousal threshold. Nat Rev Neurosci 2002; 3:339–349.
- 5. Jones BE. Arousal systems. Front Biosci 2003; 8:S438-S451.
- Steriade M. Corticothalamic resonance, states of vigilance and mentation. Neuroscience 2000; 101:243–276.
- Lu XY, Bagnol D, Burke S, Akil H, Watson SJ. Differential distribution and regulation of OX1 and OX2 orexin/hypocretin receptor messenger RNA in the brain upon fasting. *Horm Behav* 2000; 37:335–344.
- Marcus JN, Aschkenasi CJ, Lee CE, Chemelli RM, Saper CB, Yanagisawa M et al. Differential expression of orexin receptors 1 and 2 in the rat brain. J Comp Neurol 2001; 435:6–25.
- Xia JX, Chen XW, Cheng SY, Hu ZA. Mechanisms of orexin A-evoked changes of intracellular calcium in primary cultured cortical neurons. *Neuroreport* 2005; 16:783–786.
- Bayer L, Serafin M, Eggermann E, Saint-Mleux B, Machard D, Jones BE et al. Exclusive postsynaptic action of hypocretin-orexin on sublayer 6b cortical neurons. J Neurosci 2004; 24:6760–6764.
- 11. Hofle N, Paus T, Reutens D, Fiset P, Gotman J, Evans AC et al. Regional cerebral blood flow changes as a function of delta and

I532 Vol 16 No 13 8 September 2005

Copyright © Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

spindle activity during slow wave sleep in humans. J Neurosci 1997; 17:4800-4808.

- Paus T, Koski L, Caramanos Z, Westbury C. Regional differences in the effects of task difficulty and motor output on blood flow response in the human anterior cingulate cortex: a review of 107 PET activation studies. *Neuroreport* 1998; 9:R37–R47.
- Thomas M, Sing H, Belenky G, Holcomb H, Mayberg H, Dannals R *et al.* Neural basis of alertness and cognitive performance impairments during sleepiness. I. Effects of 24 h of sleep deprivation on waking human regional brain activity. *J Sleep Res* 2000; 9:335–352.
- Lambe EK, Aghajanian GK. Hypocretin (orexin) induces calcium transients in single spines postsynaptic to identified thalamocortical boutons in prefrontal slice. *Neuron* 2003; 40:139–150.
- Goldman-Rakic PS. Cellular basis of working memory. Neuron 1995; 14:477–485.
- Eriksson KS, Sergeeva O, Brown RE, Haas HL. Orexin/hypocretin excites the histaminergic neurons of the tuberomammillary nucleus. *J Neurosci* 2001; 21:9273–9279.

- Ivanov A, Aston-Jones G. Hypocretin/orexin depolarizes and decreases potassium conductance in locus coeruleus neurons. *Neuroreport* 2000; 11:1755–1758.
- Yang B, Ferguson AV. Orexin-A depolarizes nucleus tractus solitarius neurons through effects on non-selective cationic and K⁺ conductances. *J Neurophysiol* 2003; 89:2167–2175.
- Yang B, Samson WK, Ferguson AV. Excitatory effects of orexin A on nucleus tractus solitarius neurons are mediated by phospholipase C and protein kinase C. J Neurosci 2003; 23:6215–6222.
- Maurice N, Tkatch T, Meisler M, Sprunger LK, Surmeier DJ. D1/D5 dopamine receptor activation differentially modulates rapidly inactivating and persistent sodium currents in prefrontal cortex pyramidal neurons. J Neurosci 2001; 21:2268–2277.
- Xu R, Roh SG, Gong C, Hernandez M, Ueta Y, Chen C. Orexin-B augments voltage-gated L-type Ca²⁺ current via protein kinase C-mediated signaling pathway in ovine somatotropes. *Neuroendocrinology* 2003; 77:141–152.
- Exton JH. Phosphatidylcholine breakdown and signal transduction. Biochim Biophys Acta 1994; 1212:26–42.

Acknowledgement: This work is supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30270443, 30370465).